# The 1998 outburst and history of the June Boötid meteor shower

R. Arlt,<sup>1,2</sup> J. Rendtel,<sup>1,2</sup> P. Brown,<sup>2,3</sup> V. Velkov,<sup>2,4</sup> W. K. Hocking<sup>3</sup> and J. Jones<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Astrophysikalisches Institut Potsdam, An der Sternwarte 16, D-14482 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>2</sup>International Meteor Organization, PF 600118, D-14401 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario N6A 3K7, Canada

<sup>4</sup>Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 120, BG-9000 Varna, Bulgaria

Accepted 1999 April 29. Received 1999 April 29; in original form 1998 October 21

# ABSTRACT

The June Boötid meteor shower (sometimes referred to as the Draconids) surprised a number of regular and casual observers by an outburst with maximum zenithal hourly rates (ZHRs) near 100 on 1998 June 27 after a quiescent period of several decades. A total of 1217 June Boötid meteors were recorded during regular visual meteor observations throughout this outburst. An average population index of  $r = 2.2 \pm 0.10$  was derived from 1054 shower magnitude estimates. The broad activity profile with ZHR > 40 lasting more than 12 h and the large spread of apparent radiants in 1998 resemble the 1916 and 1927 outbursts. The peak time is found to be at about  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}.7$  (2000.0); peak ZHRs are of the order of 200, whereas reliable averages reach only  $81 \pm 7$ . The period of high ZHRs covered by a single observer implies a full width at half-maximum of 3–4 h. The resulting maximum flux of particles causing meteors brighter than +6.5 mag is between 0.04 and 0.06 km<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. The average radiant from photographic, radar and visual records is  $\alpha = 224^{\circ}.12$ ,  $\delta = +47^{\circ}.77$ . The observed activity outbursts in 1916, 1927 and 1998 are not related to the orbital period or the perihelion passages of the parent comet 7P/Pons–Winnecke. These are probably a consequence of the effects of the 2:1 resonance with Jupiter.

**Key words:** history and philosophy of astronomy – techniques: radar astronomy – meteors, meteoroids.

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Observations of unexpected meteor shower outbursts often comprise meagre data sets compared with annually occurring showers. The global collection of visual meteor observations and the standardization of observing methods within the International Meteor Organization (IMO) over the past decade, however, have allowed a quantitative analysis of the activity of such outbursts on a number of occasions.

Considerable activity of the June Boötids was observed on three occasions: 1916, 1927 and 1998. Some sources also list the year 1921, but the activity reported from this return is rather low (see Table 2, later). We go into the details of the historical record in Section 2; a detailed collection of historical records and their evaluation can be found in Arlt (1999). Additionally, there are some reports of possible activity before and after these returns, but the association with the June Boötids is not certain. The final catalogue of meteor radiants by Hoffmeister (1948) did not contain the shower because of insufficient observations, although he considered the shower 'real' and listed it as the 'June Draconids'. The shower was rejected from the current IMO working list (Arlt 1995), because its regular activity had been

below the detection limits for many years. However, June is a period of the year that is poorly covered by visual meteor observations generally, particularly by observations in the northern hemisphere.

In 1998, regular observers and casual witnesses noted high meteor activity visually and by radio means on June 27–28, as first reported by Sato et al. (1998). Extensive observations in the northern hemisphere are handicapped by the short duration of nights at this time of the year. Southern hemisphere observers see the radiant of the June Boötids at extremely low altitudes. In total, we summarize observations or notes from 54 observers from 13 countries in what follows.

The parent object of the June Boötid meteoroid stream is comet 7P/Pons–Winnecke (Denning 1916c; Olivier 1916). It belongs to the Jupiter family of comets, and hence its orbit is subject to major gravitational perturbations. For example, its perihelion distance has increased continuously since discovery in 1819, and at the same time the inclination has also increased significantly (Table 1). The orbit of the comet has been completely outside Earth's orbit since 1921, and currently the minimum distance between the two orbits exceeds 0.24 au (Fig. 1).

The June Boötid meteor shower is often referred to by different

| Table 1.  | Orbital  | elements   | of the parent | comet   | 7P/Pons- | Winnecke | for selected |
|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|
| perihelio | n passag | ges, taken | from Marsder  | ı (1995 | ).       |          |              |

| q        | е   | Ω  | ω  | i  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|
| [au]     |   | [°]  | [°]  | [°]  |
| 0.77188  | 0.75417   | 161.991  | 115.483  | 10.746   |
| 0.768939 | 0.754845  | 162.2032   | 115.4344   | 10.7939  |
| 0.781519 | 0.751932  | 162.4537   | 115.2969   | 10.7972  |
| 0.829004 | 0.740997  | 165.2101   | 113.1645   | 11.2766  |
| 0.885499 | 0.726178  | 172.0920   | 105.6074   | 14.5220  |
| 0.886555 | 0.725983  | 172.1650   | 105.5593   | 14.5202  |
| 0.923817 | 0.714814  | 173.4072   | 102.2358   | 16.9910  |
| 0.973066 | 0.701765  | 172.3173   | 100.5725   | 18.2846  |
| 0.970605 | 0.702320  | 172.4150   | 100.5177   | 18.3043  |
| 1.040893 | 0.685482  | 170.2992   | 99.1973  | 18.9243  |
| 1.039235 | 0.685685  | 170.3974   | 99.1422  | 18.9397  |
| 1.101784 | 0.669589  | 169.2709   | 97.5332  | 20.1145  |
| 1.101471 | 0.669678  | 169.3667   | 97.4818  | 20.1218  |
| 1.159202 | 0.654860  | 170.1315   | 95.1355  | 21.6928  |
| 1.160469 | 0.654566  | 170.2205   | 95.0850  | 21.6891  |
| 1.230129 | 0.639386  | 172.0462   | 93.6610  | 22.3252  |
| 1.247364 | 0.636004  | 172.2625   | 93.4682  | 22.3218  |
| 1.254207 | 0.634704  | 172.3779   | 93.4272  | 22.2933  |
| 1.253989 | 0.634714  | 172.3358   | 93.4311  | 22.3071  |
| 1.260964 | 0.633511  | 172.3375   | 93.4319  | 22.7222  |
|          | <i>q</i><br>[au]<br>0.77188<br>0.768939<br>0.781519<br>0.829004<br>0.885499<br>0.885499<br>0.886555<br>0.923817<br>0.973066<br>0.970605<br>1.040893<br>1.039235<br>1.101784<br>1.101471<br>1.159202<br>1.160469<br>1.230129<br>1.247364<br>1.254207<br>1.253989<br>1.260964 | $\begin{array}{c c} q & e \\ [au] & \\ \hline \\ 0.77188 & 0.75417 \\ 0.768939 & 0.754845 \\ 0.781519 & 0.751932 \\ 0.829004 & 0.740997 \\ 0.885499 & 0.726178 \\ 0.886555 & 0.725983 \\ 0.923817 & 0.714814 \\ 0.973066 & 0.701765 \\ 0.970605 & 0.702320 \\ 1.040893 & 0.685482 \\ 1.039235 & 0.685685 \\ 1.101784 & 0.669589 \\ 1.101784 & 0.669589 \\ 1.101784 & 0.669589 \\ 1.101784 & 0.669678 \\ 1.159202 & 0.654860 \\ 1.160469 & 0.654566 \\ 1.230129 & 0.63386 \\ 1.247364 & 0.636004 \\ 1.254207 & 0.634704 \\ 1.253989 & 0.634714 \\ 1.260964 & 0.633511 \\ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |



**Figure 1.** Evolution of the minimum distance of the orbit of comet 7P/ Pons–Winnecke from the Earth's orbit. The distances were computed with help of the radiant prediction program introduced by Neslušan, Svoreň & Porubčan (1998).

names. Because of its link to comet 7P/Pons–Winnecke, historical records list the shower as 'Pons–Winneckids'. In his review of meteor shower outbursts, Jenniskens (1995) calls the shower '*u*-Draconids' according to the bright star closest to the 1916 position derived by Denning. Furthermore, designations like 'June Draconids' and 'Boötid–Draconids' can be found throughout the literature.

The geocentric velocity of the June Boötid meteoroid stream is generally given as  $v_g = 14 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , resulting in a pre-atmospheric velocity of  $v_{\infty} = 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The velocity of the stream is significantly changed by the gravity of the Earth, as is the position of the apparent radiant of the meteor shower depending on the local altitude of the radiant. In 1998, for example, Australian observers recorded the average radiant as shifted toward the zenith by about 20°.

Activity outbursts of meteor showers have been classified by Jenniskens (1995), and a few records of June Boötid activity were mentioned by him. In his work, the June Boötids did not show a clear distinction between the peak and background components at any of the last three significant returns; the similarity to the October Draconids which are also lacking a background component, according to his work, may be noted. Indeed, June Boötid activity may be associated with a class of outbursts that are controlled by Jovian perturbations and the relation of which to near-comet-type outbursts needs to be evaluated. The behaviour of the June Boötids remains peculiar, and the stream will be an instructive example for simulations of the evolution of meteoroid streams.

#### 2 HISTORICAL RECORDS

## 2.1 The 1916 June Boötids

The first reliable June Boötid outburst occurred in 1916 when regular and casual observers recorded significant activity (the earliest note is in Denning 1916a). The shower was quickly associated with comet 7P/Pons-Winnecke (Denning 1916c and, independently, Olivier 1916). In his first detailed report concerning the outburst, Denning (1916b) gave a table with a breakdown of his observing period at 15- to 30-min intervals. He did not give an estimate of the sky quality in terms of a stellar limiting magnitude, but he did mention the activity of eight other nights from June 23 to July 8 in terms of an uncorrected hourly rate of 2.25. Scaling this rate to a typical sporadic rate of 10 at that time of the year with a sporadic population index of 3.0, we expect Denning's limiting magnitude to have been no higher than +5.5 mag. A detailed meteor list in Denning (1916c) allowed the determination of the population index of the 1916 event and the radiant using modern methods (see Section 3).

The estimated zenithal hourly rates (ZHRs) are shown in Fig. 2, based on a population index of 2.2 and a limiting magnitude of +5.2 mag. The graph indicates a plateau in activity lasting at least 3h which is unlike any other outburst of a cometary meteor shower, such as the Lyrids, Perseids, Aurigids, October Draconids or Leonids.

The reports of casual observers in Europe in 1916 underline this general impression of the activity, and do not contradict an



**Figure 2.** Estimates of the ZHR of Denning's observation on 1916 June 28–29 (Denning 1916b).

estimated ZHR of roughly 100. Reports by North American observers cover periods starting at 01:30 UT on June 28, noting meteor numbers suggesting that the ZHR was below 10 by then (Olivier 1916; Denning 1917). We conclude that the *maximum* 1916 June Boötid activity was ZHR ~ 100.

#### 2.2 The 1921 June Boötids

Because of the linkage of the 1916 June Boötids to a periodic comet, expectations for 1921, one orbital period later, were high (Denning 1921a). The observational details are given in Table 2. All reports indicate low June Boötid activity, except for a questionable report from Japan (Yamamoto 1922). An unusually good perception is suggested in that source, where the visual observer Nakamura claims to see 20 stars in the Pleiades. The details given in Yamamoto & Nakamura (1922) reveal an unusually large number of meteors with  $m \ge 5.0$  mag: 68 per cent of the

**Table 2.** Historical records of the June Boötid activity during the 1916, 1921 and 1927 returns of the shower. Rates do not refer to the term ZHR which is in modern use, but give only numbers per hour independently of the observing conditions. Other papers just give numbers of shower meteors noted by the observer. In several cases, the number of June Boötids was derived by the present authors from meteor coordinates given in the respective publication.

| Date/Time (UT)          | Activity            | Observer and remarks                         | Source                  |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------|
| 1860, 1861 Jun 30       | 'many'              | Lowe   | Denning (1916c)         |
| 1916 Jun 28 2225-0010   | 55 meteors          | Denning, Bristol                             | Denning (1916c)         |
| 1916 Jun 28 2300-0000   | nearly 100 meteors  | observer at Birmingham                       | Denning (1916d)         |
| 1916 Jun 28 2300-0130   | $\sim 60/h$         | Raisin, Bournemouth                          | Denning (1916d,e)       |
| 1916 Jun 29 0045-0115   | 14 meteors          | Denning, Bristol; partly cloudy              | Denning (1916c)         |
| 1916 Jun 29 0135-?      | low                 | Barnard, Yerkes, USA                         | Denning (1917)          |
| 1916 Jun 29 ~ 0400      | 5.5/h               | Brooks, Washington DC, USA                   | Olivier (1916)          |
| 1921 Jun 24             | 2.9/h               | summary                                      | Hoffmeister (1922)      |
| 1921 Jun 25             | 2.5/h               | summary                                      | Hoffmeister (1922)      |
| 1921 Jun 26             | 0.6/h               | summary                                      | Hoffmeister (1922)      |
| 1921 Jun 28 2349-0134   | 3 June Boötids      | Denning, Bristol                             | Denning (1921b)         |
| 1921 Jun 28             | 1.7/h               | summary                                      | Hoffmeister (1922)      |
| 1921 Jun 28 2140-2250   | 3 June Boötids      | Littmann, Löwenstein, Mrazek in Prague; hazy | Prey (1921)             |
| 1921 Jun 28 2150-2400   | 4 June Boötids      | Svoboda, Ondřejov                            | Svoboda (1923)          |
| 1921 Jun 28 2150-2400   | 5.5/h               | Štepanek, Ondřejov                           | Svoboda (1923)          |
| 1921 Jun 28 2308-0106   | 4 June Boötids      | Jadot, Statte-Huy                            | Jadot (1921)            |
| 1921 Jun 29             | 6/h                 | Inouye, Hokkaido                             | Kanda (1922)            |
| 1921 Jun 29.17          | 7 June Boötids      | Dole, USA                                    | Kronk (1988)            |
| 1921 Jun 29             | 1.1/h               | summary                                      | Hoffmeister (1922)      |
| 1921 Jun 29 2135-2310   | 2 June Boötids      | Mrazek, Prey in Prague; very hazy            | Prey (1921)             |
| 1921 Jun 29 2140-0000   | 2 June Boötids      | Svoboda, Ondřejov                            | Svoboda (1923)          |
| 1921 Jun 29 2140-0000   | 3.0/h               | Štepanek, Ondřejov                           | Svoboda (1923)          |
| 1921 Jun 29 2116-0145   | 1 June Boötid       | Jadot, Statte-Huy                            | Jadot (1921)            |
| 1921 Jun 30             | 5/h                 | observers at Chôsen, Japan                   | Kanda (1922)            |
| 1921 Jun 30.10          | 8 June Boötids      | Dole, USA                                    | Kronk (1988)            |
| 1921 Jul 01             | 0.4/h               | Kanda, Hokkaido                              | Kanda (1922)            |
| 1921 Jul 01 2200-2300   | 3 June Boötids      | Heybrock, Frankfurt; hazy, clouds            | Heybrock (1921)         |
| 1921 Jul 03             | 135 met. in 35 min. | Nakamura, Kyoto                              | Yamamoto (1922)         |
| 1921 Jul 05             | 91 met. in 41 min.  | Nakamura, Kyoto                              | Yamamoto (1922), quest- |
|                         |                     |  | ioned by Denning (1922) |
| 1927 Jun 24 1723-2055   | 43/h                | 3 observers of Tashkent group                | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 25 1654-2023   | 32/h                | 3 observers of Tashkent group                | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 26 1700-2033   | 58/h                | 4 observers of Tashkent group                | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 27 $\sim 0500$ | 7.2/h               | Olivier, Virginia, USA                       | Olivier (1927)          |
| 1927 Jun 27 ~ 0600      | 0 June Boötids      | Brown, Minnesota, USA                        | Olivier (1927)          |
| 1927 Jun 27 ~ 0600      | normal              | Bunch, Monning, Texas, USA                   | Olivier (1927)          |
| 1927 Jun 27 1703-2030   | 61/h                | 4 observers of Tashkent group                | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 28 $\sim 0500$ | 5.1/h               | Olivier, Virginia, USA                       | Olivier (1927)          |
| 1927 Jun 28 1909-2023   | 51/h                | 2 observer of Tashkent group                 | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 29 1703-1923   | 46/h                | 2 observer of Tashkent group                 | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jun 30 $\sim 0500$ | 100 June Boötids    | Dole, Michigan, USA                          | King (1928)             |
| 1927 Jun 30 1720-1845   | 37/h                | 1 observer of Tashkent group                 | Sytinskaja (1928)       |
| 1927 Jul $01 \sim 0500$ | 85 June Boötids     | Dole, Michigan, USA                          | King (1928)             |
| 1927 Jul 02 1710-1855   | 29/h                | 1 observer of Tashkent group                 | Sytinskaja (1928)       |

total number of June Boötids. These reports contrast with a note by Kanda (1922) from Japan, in which total numbers and June Boötid numbers are given. Approximated hourly June Boötid rates of 5–6 can be estimated assuming that the non-June Boötids fulfil a sporadic rate of 10. We may conclude that *the June Boötid activity was ZHR*  $\leq$  10 *in the visual range in 1921* although the parent comet had passed its perihelion on June 13.4 in the same year.

#### 2.3 The 1927 June Boötids

The next enhanced June Boötid activity after the 1916 event occurred in 1927, for which we find two main records: an observers' group in Tashkent (summary in Sytinsky 1928; details are given in Sytinskaja 1928); and an observation by Dole in the USA (reported by King 1928). Although very large meteor numbers were reported, both reports do not necessarily indicate that a June Boötid outburst similar to the 1916 event occurred.

Dole gave two active radiants, the Coronids at  $\alpha = 235^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +30^{\circ}$  with which he associated 130 meteors, and the Ursa Majorids at  $\alpha = 215^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +57^{\circ}$ , with which he associated 145 meteors. The maximum was found on June 29 and 30 with 35 Coronids, 65 Ursa Majorids and 48 Coronids, 37 Ursa Majorids respectively. The same note by King gives Dole's Orionid rates: 499 Orionids in total; at maximum 97 Orionids were seen on October 23. On the one hand, the Orionids usually furnish a maximum ZHR of about 25, implying that the possible June Boötid rates were as high as that at best. On the other hand, we should bear in mind that northern June nights are shorter than October nights, i.e. the meteors were probably seen in a shorter period of time. We can, nevertheless, conclude that the 1927 activity was not as high as in 1916 and did not exceed a value of about 30.

The second report (Sytinskaja 1928) gives enormous meteor numbers for the end of June. The nights of early June and early July, with mainly sporadic activity free of major showers, indicate that the observations were carried out under extremely good sky conditions; stellar limiting magnitudes are assumed to be between +7.0 and +7.5 mag. Fig. 3 shows the uncorrected hourly rates (including sporadics) for the most active observers of the Tashkent group, and it becomes obvious that the actual activity did not exceed sporadic activity by more than a factor of 2.



**Figure 3.** Uncorrected hourly rates given by observers of the Tashkent group in 1927 June. Total rates increased by a factor of 2 during the June Boötid maximum compared with the sporadic background.

A limiting magnitude of +7.5 mag results in reasonable sporadic rates before and after the event. With this assumption we can calculate the hourly rate, corrected for the limiting magnitude and radiant elevation, from the meteors actually associated with the June Boötids. It turns out that the ZHR did not exceed 10, indicating that the observers applied a very stringent shower association. Taking the total-rate graph and the ZHR as well as the results from Dole's observations into account, we can conclude that *the ZHR did not exceed a value of about 30 in 1927*.

#### 2.4 Other records

A search for earlier recordings in the literature of the last century reveals that it is very difficult to link shower radiants given in diverse lists with the activity of the June Boötids. Radiants were usually derived without consideration of path length and angular velocity. In some cases general remarks like 'swift' or 'slow' were added. For example, the radiant list of Denning (1890) mentions two radiants at  $\alpha = 213^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +53^{\circ}$  and  $\alpha = 238^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +47^{\circ}$  for June 26. However, the meteors are described as being 'swift' and 'slow' respectively, although the second radiant is closer to the apex of the Earth's motion, and the geocentric velocity of a meteoroid stream cannot exceed  $18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the first position, which is far from producing 'swift' meteors. An analysis of Italian meteor observations in 1872 (Denning 1878) shows a radiant at  $\alpha = 216^{\circ}, \ \delta = +47^{\circ}$  based on 10 meteors, and a few more convergence points at positions east of that. Other radiants based on even more meteors can be found in the same period, as well as radiants at similar positions in the neighbouring periods. The positions close to the June Boötids are, therefore, no indication for distinct activity from that particular stream.

The comprehensive radiant compilation of Denning (1899) repeats this information and does not give any more substantive details concerning June Boötid activity. These facts indicate that nothing significant was observed from a June Boötid radiant connected with a real meteoroid stream before 1916. The oldest indication for enhanced June Boötid activity is mentioned in Denning (1916c), citing the observations of Mr Lowe who saw 'many meteors' on June 30 in 1860 and 1861. A reference to the original publication by Lowe was not given in Denning's note.

Sekanina (1976) associated four streams that he found from radar data with the orbit of 7P/Pons–Winnecke. The most prominent is the 'July Draconid' shower (54 orbits) between June 2 and July 19 with an average radiant at  $\alpha = 209^{\circ}.8$ ,  $\delta = +70^{\circ}.7$ . Much closer to our best radiant estimates for the June Boötids is the 'Boötid–Draconid' shower in Sekanina's list based on 12 meteors, with a nodal passage on 1969 July 2 and a radiant at  $\alpha = 233^{\circ}.7 \pm 3^{\circ}.1$ ,  $\delta = +52^{\circ}.2 \pm 1^{\circ}.8$ . The geocentric velocity was found to be  $v_g = 14.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  which is accelerated by the Earth's gravity to a pre-atmospheric velocity of  $v_{\infty} = 18.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . A search in the Meteor Orbit Database of the IAU by Lindblad (private communication) did not reveal any records that could be associated with the June Boötids.

The visual activity in most recent years was very low and cannot be distinguished from mere chance alignments of sporadic meteors with the June Boötid radiant: The Visual Meteor Database of the IMO (started by Roggemans 1988) contains observations of 1985–87, 1991–95 and 1997 discriminating the June Bootids among the observed meteors, but the activity was at the detection limit caused by sporadic contamination in all these years.

# **3** ACTIVITY ANALYSIS FROM 1998 VISUAL RECORDS

#### 3.1 Population index

The considerable number of 1054 magnitude estimates in the present records allows the determination of an average population index r for the June Boötids. The population index is defined as the factor by which the true meteor number increases from one magnitude class to the next fainter class:

$$r = \Phi(m+1)/\Phi(m),\tag{1}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the true number of meteors visible in a certain field of the sky. These true numbers can be obtained from visual observations through knowledge of perception probabilities as a function of the difference of the meteor magnitude from the limiting magnitude *LM*. The perception values have been determined by several authors: e.g. Kresáková (1966) based on 1351 individual magnitude estimates; and Koschack & Rendtel (1990) based on 6248 estimates. The set of perception probabilities of the latter source was used because of their superior amount of data, and since they refer to an individual observer's field of view instead of the whole sky. A complete profile of the population index is shown in Fig. 4.

The magnitude distributions covering the period from 1998 June 27, 19:30 to June 28, 01:30 UT yield an average r-value of



Figure 4. Population index profile of the 1998 June Boötids.



Figure 5. Population index profile of the 1998 June Boötids as derived from observations in the period June 27, 19:30 to June 28, 01:30 UT.

 $2.19 \pm 0.07$ . A breakdown of the population index from observations for which detailed meteor lists were available indicates an increase of the *r*-value during the declining part of the activity (Fig. 5). The population index of Denning's meteor list (Denning 1916c) is about r = 2.2 if we assume a limiting magnitude of  $\pm 5.2$  mag; the *r*-value is fairly consistent with the particle distribution of the 1998 event. We applied the *r*-profile shown in Fig. 4 to correct the visual observations for their limiting magnitudes.

# 3.2 ZHR activity and flux

The standard quantity to measure the visual activity of a meteor shower is the zenithal hourly rate (ZHR) which is computed by

$$ZHR = \frac{n_{JBO} r^{(6.5-LM)} F}{T_{\text{eff}} \sin^{\gamma} h_{\text{R}}},$$
(2)

where  $n_{\rm JBO}$  is the number of shower meteors, *LM* is the average stellar limiting magnitude during the observation period, *F* is a correction for clouds or other field-of-view obstructions, and  $h_{\rm R}$  is the radiant altitude. The exponent  $\gamma$  is sometimes used as an additional correction to the merely geometric consideration of the radiant height, and empirically accounts for the radiant height dependence of the meteor phenomenon (basically the maximum magnitude). Values larger than unity have been derived, although observations of Perseids and Leonids indicate no significant deviation from 1.0 (Bellot Rubio 1995; Koschack 1995; Arlt, Rendtel & Brown 1996). The June Boötids are in fact a cometary shower, too, but there is little information for a stream of such a low geocentric velocity in connection with the  $\gamma$ -value. Here we set  $\gamma = 1.0$ .

The details of the activity analysis of the regular meteor observations reported on the June Boötids are given in Table 3 and graphically shown in Fig. 6, with a magnification of the maximum in Fig. 7. The maximum is resolved with  $0^{\circ}.030$  bins (~ 40 min), shifted by 0°.015 until  $\lambda_{\odot} = 96^{\circ}.05$ , and with 0°.050 bins ( $\sim$  70 min), shifted by 0°.025 from 96°.05 to 96°.2. Observations with a total correction larger than 5.0 were excluded, except for the average given for  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}.629$ . The most striking feature of the ZHR graph is the long duration of high activity for about 12 h. The decreasing branch of activity is covered by a good sample of observations. Additional observations are given in Hashimoto & Osada (1998), made by 'a beginner in meteor observations but an experienced amateur', R. Shimoji, for which we re-calculated the ZHRs. They cover the solar longitude range from 95°.67 to 95°.95 (June 27, 12-19 h UT) with gradually decreasing ZHRs starting above 200 and ending up at about 50. The full width at halfmaximum of the outburst is 3-4h according to this singleobserver record, but it must be underlined that estimates of a single observer can bear substantial systematic errors, and the resulting ZHRs are marked in Figs 6 and 7.

Estimates of the flux of particles producing meteors brighter than 6.5 mag are between 0.02 and  $0.04 \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . The strong sensitivity of visual fluxes to the population index results in large uncertainties of at least a factor of 2.

#### 3.3 Radiant analysis

Software for the analysis of visual meteor plots was developed by Arlt (1992) and is available as RADIANT I.4I. Three different methods of radiant determination are implemented in this

**Table 3.** Activity profile of the 1998 June Boötids. Only regular meteor observations are used. A radiant position of  $\alpha = 230^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +47^{\circ}$  and the population index profile shown in Fig. 4 were applied for the analysis. Solar longitudes refer to equinox 2000.0; times are rounded to the nearest 10 min.

| Date   | Time (UT) | $\lambda_{\odot}$ | $n_{\rm JBO}$ | ZHR            | r    |
|--------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|------|
| Jun 26 | 0000      | 94°.215           | 2             | $2.4 \pm 1.4$  | 2.77 |
| Jun 26 | 2230      | 95°.128           | 32            | $3.0 \pm 0.5$  | 2.77 |
| Jun 27 | 0000      | 95°.147           | 43            | $3.2 \pm 0.5$  | 2.77 |
| Jun 27 | 0200      | 95°.275           | 65            | $9.7 \pm 1.2$  | 2.72 |
| Jun 27 | 0920      | 95°.560           | 53            | $16.5 \pm 2.2$ | 2.68 |
| Jun 27 | 1100      | 95°.629           | 46            | $70.6 \pm 10$  | 2.64 |
| Jun 27 | 1940      | 95°.973           | 42            | $38.5 \pm 5.9$ | 2.00 |
| Jun 27 | 1950      | 95°.977           | 81            | $51.9 \pm 5.7$ | 2.00 |
| Jun 27 | 2000      | 95°.990           | 90            | $64.0\pm6.7$   | 2.02 |
| Jun 27 | 2040      | 96°.011           | 148           | $69.8 \pm 5.7$ | 2.04 |
| Jun 27 | 2100      | 96°.023           | 124           | $81.4 \pm 7.3$ | 2.05 |
| Jun 27 | 2120      | 96°.043           | 125           | $56.4 \pm 5.0$ | 2.07 |
| Jun 27 | 2130      | 96°.044           | 110           | $55.0 \pm 5.2$ | 2.07 |
| Jun 27 | 2150      | 96°.059           | 212           | $48.0 \pm 3.3$ | 2.13 |
| Jun 27 | 2210      | 96°.074           | 371           | $50.1 \pm 2.6$ | 2.15 |
| Jun 27 | 2250      | 96°.100           | 264           | $40.9\pm2.5$   | 2.27 |
| Jun 27 | 2330      | 96°.128           | 206           | $33.6 \pm 2.3$ | 2.31 |
| Jun 28 | 0000      | 96°.149           | 166           | $43.4 \pm 3.4$ | 2.23 |
| Jun 28 | 0040      | 96°.173           | 87            | $50.4 \pm 5.4$ | 2.17 |
| Jun 28 | 0100      | 96°.182           | 36            | $42.7\pm7.0$   | 2.17 |
| Jun 28 | 2240      | 97°.044           | 35            | $2.3 \pm 0.4$  | 2.22 |
| Jun 29 | 0200      | 97°.178           | 35            | $1.8 \pm 0.3$  | 2.44 |
| Jun 29 | 2220      | 97°.985           | 46            | $3.1 \pm 0.5$  | 3.48 |
| Jun 30 | 0330      | 98°.192           | 48            | $3.8 \pm 0.5$  | 3.50 |
| Jun 30 | 2050      | 98°.883           | 4             | $2.9 \pm 1.3$  | 3.50 |
| Jul 01 | 0900      | 99°.366           | 6             | $6.0 \pm 2.3$  | 3.50 |
| Jul 01 | 1230      | 99°.503           | 4             | $6.4 \pm 2.9$  | 3.50 |
| Jul 02 | 1240      | 100°.462          | 1             | $3.0 \pm 2.1$  | 3.50 |



Figure 6. ZHR profile of the 1998 June Boötids as derived from visual observations. The diamonds with dotted error margins are the results of a single observer.

package: (i) traditional backward tracings of meteor paths resulting in a density distribution of individual meteor prolongations; (ii) the density distribution of intersection points; and (iii) the computation of probability functions for each meteor.

The first two methods are considered traditional; they were improved by applying the angular speed information recorded by the observer to the prolongation arc on the sky. Path and angular velocity errors of experienced observers were determined by Koschack (1992). The speed error is used to select a certain section of each backward prolongation as a valid radiant range of the meteor.

The last method is the most thorough way of radiant mapping,



**Figure 7.** Magnification of the ZHR profile of the 1998 June Boötids given in Fig. 6. The diamonds are again based on only one observer.

yielding reliable radiant distributions from small meteor samples. According to the error distribution of the path and the angular velocity, a whole area of probabilities behind a meteor is computed instead of a backward prolongation. Each point behind a meteor is associated with some probability of being its true radiant location, expressed by two Gaussian functions:

$$p = \exp\left[-\frac{(\omega - \omega_0)^2}{2\sigma_{\omega}^2}\right] \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta^2}{2\sigma_{\text{path}}^2}\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $\omega$  means the observed angular velocity,  $\omega_0$  is the theoretical angular speed at that distance for a given atmospheric velocity, and  $\sigma_{\omega}$  is the typical standard deviation of such estimates (depending on the speed itself). In the second term,  $\Delta$  is the distance to the great circle on which the plotted meteor path lies, and  $\sigma_{\text{path}}$  is the standard deviation of the plot in terms of 'error at the radiant'. Probability areas of all meteors are accumulated, giving a radiant distribution.

Zenithal attraction is the shifting of a meteor radiant towards the local zenith by the gravity of the Earth. This is an effect that is usually negligible for observations obtained with visual accuracy. However, the zenithal attractions of shower meteoroids with extremely low geocentric velocities can amount to several degrees according to

$$\Delta z = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{v_{\infty} - v_g}{v_{\infty} + v_g} \tan\frac{z}{2}\right),\tag{4}$$

where z is the geometrical zenith distance of the apparent radiant. This distance depends on the time when the meteor appeared; hence the correction of a radiant *after* its determination from meteor plots of a night is only applicable if the zenith distance has not changed considerably. Therefore the RADIANT software corrects each meteor individually for zenithal attraction. It assumes a most probable radiant of a single meteor according to path length and speed, and changes the position of the meteor in such a way that the distance of the new radiant to the original one fulfils the zenithal attraction. We used the same method of individual meteor correction for the diurnal aberration, which gives an additional shift of up to 1°.5 for the June Boötid radiant. The shift  $\Delta\beta$  on a meridian running through the east and west points at the horizon is calculated by

$$\sin \Delta \beta = \frac{\sin \beta \cos \phi \, v_{\rm e}}{v_{\infty}},\tag{5}$$



Figure 8. The June Boötid radiant derived from the meteor plots of Denning (1916c).



Figure 9. The 1998 June Boötid radiant derived from meteor plots of Velkov and Rashkova. The radiant shown here is based on 59 plots and corrected for zenith attraction and diurnal aberration.

where  $\beta$  is the distance of the apparent radiant from the east point,  $\phi$  is the geographical latitude and  $v_e$  is the rotational velocity at the Earth's equator.

The probability method needs information about the angular velocities of the meteors, information that was not given by the majority of observers at the beginning of this century. Fig. 8 shows the backward prolongations of meteors observed and reported by Denning (1916c) with a main radiant at  $\alpha = 229^{\circ}$ ,  $\delta = +55^{\circ}$ . The 1998 position derived by the probability method from a number of precisely plotted meteors is found at  $\alpha = 226^{\circ}.2$ ,  $\delta = +46^{\circ}.1$ 



**Figure 10.** Flux profile derived from the SkiYmet radar on 1998 June 27. Dashed lines indicate four points suffering from a strong correction owing to small collecting areas.

(Fig. 9). The application of the zenithal attraction correction considerably reduces the radiant size.

#### 3.4 Photographic data

A sample of seven single-station June Boötids was photographed by Velkov at Avren, Bulgaria, and are very well distributed in a fan-like structure around the radiant. The apparent radiant position is  $\alpha = 229^{\circ}.6$ ,  $\delta = +48^{\circ}.1$ , which reduces to a geocentric radiant at  $\alpha = 225^{\circ}.2$ ,  $\delta = +48^{\circ}.4$  according to zenith attraction and diurnal aberration, assuming a pre-atmospheric velocity of  $v_{\infty} =$  $18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The photographic meteors appeared between 20:35 and 22:10 UT on 1998 June 27.

The European Fireball Network (EN) photographed a -8 mag June Boötid on 1998 June 27, 21:23:04 UT, from two stations (Spurný & Borovička 1998). The geocentric radiant was found to be  $\alpha = 222^{\circ}.88 \pm 0^{\circ}.16$ ,  $\delta = +47^{\circ}.60 \pm 0^{\circ}.06$ .

#### 4 ACTIVITY FROM RADAR RECORDS

In addition to the visual observations of the Boötid outburst, radar records of the event were obtained (Fig. 10). In particular, the SkiYmet Interferometric Radar observed the outburst from Saskatoon, Canada (52.1N, 106.4W). The SkiYmet radar is a 6kW peak power, five-receiver system operating at 35.24 MHz using a three-element vertically directed Yagi for transmission and one two-element Yagi for each receiver. The limiting sensitivity of the system is near an equivalent limiting magnitude of +7, or an electron line density approaching  $1.5 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^{-1}$ . The interferometric capability of the radar permits identification of the echo direction in the sky from an average meteor to within 1°.5 of the true location. As the gain pattern for the system is very broad, the system has nearly all-sky detection capability down to an elevation of 20°. As a result, the Boötid radiant was visible for all hours except from 02:00 to 05:30 UT daily when the radiant elevation was too high to allow any echo detection above 20° elevation. However, as the low velocity of the Boötids leads to a very large zenithal attraction effect, the apparent location of the radiant in equatorial coordinates varies by nearly 10° throughout the day. Thus any attempt to use the radiant mapping technique of Jones & Morton (1977) will lead to a smeared-out radiant region.



Figure 11. Map of radiation points derived from radar observations using the mapping technique described by Jones & Morton (1977).

To minimize this effect, Fig. 11 shows the radiant map using all echoes from 23:00 to 08:00 UT daily when the radiant is above 50° elevation and the zenithal attraction effects are less than 5°, comparable to the estimated spread arising from the intrinsic phase errors alone. The best-fitting radiant position from these data is  $\alpha = 229^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$  and  $\delta = +48^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ . Diurnal aberration of the radiant is not considered here.

To attempt to measure the flux from the shower, all data were binned into 1-h intervals. Using the best estimate of the geocentric radiant from photographic data (Spurný & Borovička 1998, photographs by Velkov) of  $\alpha = 224^{\circ}$  and  $\delta = +48^{\circ}$ , an iterative procedure was adopted whereby the apparent radiant position at the mid-point of each hour was calculated and the 'apparent' radiant then used to sift June Boötids from the sporadic background. This was done by selecting those meteor echoes that were within  $\pm 3^{\circ}$  of the specular point from the 'apparent' radiant within the 1-h interval. This was performed for all hours for June 26-28 (when the radar ceased gathering data). The echo collecting area for the Boötids was then calculated using standard techniques (cf. Brown et al. 1998) and the data binned into 4-h increments to improve the statistical reliability. The final flux profile (which assumes r = 2.2 as found from the visual observations) is shown in Fig. 10. Error margins refer to the 95 per cent confidence interval arising from the mere statistical number errors  $\pm 2/\sqrt{n_{\rm IBO}}$ .

There is a very definite beginning to the shower activity near  $\lambda_{\odot} = 94^{\circ}.6$ , the previous 12 h showing absolutely no echoes from the Boötid radiant. A slow build-up in activity occurs from this point forward. Note that the data points closest to the 02:00–05:30 UT intervals are subject to large uncertainties, owing to the much smaller collecting areas involved. Additional systematic errors of the analysis procedure may add to the statistical errors given in Fig. 10. The large increase near  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}.2-95^{\circ}.4$  may be overstated owing to this effect, but the large number of echoes from the Boötid radiant in this long interval (close to one every 2 min for several hours) is strong evidence of significantly heightened activity, probably higher than any other period for



Figure 12. Distribution of pre-atmospheric velocities of 15 accurately measured June Boötids.

which radar observations are available. The sub-maximum near  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}7$  corresponds to the region of maximum activity observed visually, and is far from any 'edge' effects near to the time of radiant transit and thus also likely to be real. The additional peak near  $\lambda_{\odot} = 96^{\circ}2$  occurs just after radiant transit when a large number of apparent Boötids (17) were seen in just 1 h – this may be a real feature rather than a statistical anomaly, although again close to the time of smallest collecting areas.

The limiting sensitivity of the radar (+7 mag) is near the underdense–overdense transition region, and thus determination of mass indices from the amplitude distribution of echoes is not applicable. The detection algorithms are similar to those used for the CLOVAR meteor wind radar (cf. Brown et al. 1998). The software employed by CLOVAR accepts almost exclusively underdense echoes. As a consequence, it is not possible to use the duration distribution of overdense echoes to compute a mass index. The velocities of the echoes selected above were computed using a new spectral frequency technique based on the variation of the phase as the meteor crosses Fresnel zones (Hocking, in

| Date $\alpha \qquad \delta$ |        | δ               | Source   | Equinox |    |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|--|---------|----|
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 203°   | $+53^{\circ}$   | observer at Birmingham; Olivier (1916)               | _       |    |
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 221°   | $+56^{\circ}$   | Denning (1923), no. 183                              | _       |    |
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 231°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | Denning (1923), no. 184                              | _       |    |
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 213°   | $+53^{\circ}$   | Denning (1923), no. 185a                             | _       |    |
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 223°   | $+41^{\circ}$   | Denning (1923), no. 185                              | _       |    |
| 1916 Jun 28                 | 229°   | $+55^{\circ}$   | Denning, this analysis                               | 2000.0  |    |
| 1921 Jun 28                 | 212°   | $+49^{\circ}$   | Nakamura (Yamamoto 1922)                             | _       |    |
| 1921 Jun 28                 | 228°   | $+58^{\circ}$   | Denning (1923), no. 186                              | _       |    |
| 1921 Jun 28/29              | 208°   | $+61^{\circ}$   | Hoffmeister (1922); 12 meteors                       | 1910.0  |    |
| 1921 Jun 29/30              | 233°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | Kanda (1922)   | _       |    |
| 1921 Jul 01                 | 240°   | $+55^{\circ}$   | Alenitch, 4 meteors (Malzev 1933)                    | 1855.0  |    |
| 1922 Jun 29                 | 219°   | $+48^{\circ}$   | Alenitch, 4 meteors (Malzev 1933)                    | 1855.0  |    |
| 1922 Jun 29                 | 234°   | $+39^{\circ}$   | Alenitch, 5 meteors (Malzev 1933)                    | 1855.0  |    |
| 1922 Jun 29                 | 248°   | $+53^{\circ}$   | Alenitch, 5 meteors (Malzev 1933)                    | 1855.0  |    |
| 1927 Jun 26.8               | 198°   | $+53^{\circ}$   | 3 observers, Tashkent (Sytinskaja 1928)              | 1927.0  | ~/ |
| 1927 Jun 27                 | 213°   | $+55^{\circ}$   | Dole (King 1928)                                     | _       | v  |
| 1927 Jun 27.8               | 198°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | 4 observers, Tashkent (Sytinskaja 1928)              | 1927.0  |    |
| 1927 Jun 28.8               | 198°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | 2 observers, Tashkent (Sytinskaja 1928)              | 1927.0  | Ĵ  |
| 1927 Jun 29.7               | 200°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | 2 observers, Tashkent (Sytinskaja 1928)              | 1927.0  | Ĵ  |
| 1927 Jun 30                 | 218°   | $+60^{\circ}$   | Dole (King 1928)                                     | _       | •  |
| 1927 Jun 30.7               | 204°   | $+55^{\circ}$   | 1 observer, Tashkent (Sytinskaja 1928)               | 1927.0  | 1  |
| — Jun 27–30                 | 212°   | $+58^{\circ}$   | Bakulin (1973), no. 18 (visual)                      | 1950.0  | •  |
| — Jun 13–Jul 02             | 229°   | $+48^{\circ}$   | Bakulin (1973), no. 90 (photographic)                | 1950.0  |    |
| — Jul 01                    | 209°   | $+56^{\circ}$   | Bakulin (1973), no. 52                               | 1950.0  |    |
| 1942 Jul 06                 | 206°   | $+54^{\circ}$   | Bakulin (1973), no. 29 (telescopic)                  | 1942.0  |    |
| 1944 Jun 24                 | 208°   | $+55^{\circ}$   | Bakulin (1973), no. 30 (telescopic)                  | 1900.0  |    |
| 1987 Jun 27                 | 229°   | $+44^{\circ}$   | Velkov   | 1950.0  | 1  |
| 1998 Jun 27.6               | 218°   | $+53^{\circ}$   | report by Vodicka and Marsh, radiant position corr.  | 2000.0  | Ĵ  |
|                             |        |                 | by McNaught (1998, MeteorObs mailing list)           |         | •  |
| 1998 Jun 27.60              | 229°   | $+48^{\circ}$   | Brown and Hocking; radar                             | 2000.0  |    |
| 1998 Jun 27.89              | 222°.9 | $+47^{\circ}.6$ | Spurný & Borovička (1998); double-station photograph | 2000.0  |    |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 225°.2 | $+48^{\circ}.4$ | 7 photographic meteors by Velkov                     | 2000.0  | Ĵ  |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 226°.2 | $+46^{\circ}.1$ | Rashkova, Velkov                                     | 2000.0  | Ň  |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 237°   | $+46^{\circ}$   | Crivello (1998, private communication)               | 2000.0  | Ň  |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 240°   | $+50^{\circ}$   | Gorelli (1998, IMO-news mailing list)                | 2000.0  | v  |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 224°   | $+50^{\circ}$   | Haver (1998, IMO-news mailing list)                  | 2000.0  |    |
| 1998 Jun 27.9               | 220°   | $+59^{\circ}$   | Stomeo (1998, IMO-news mailing list)                 | 2000.0  |    |

**Table 4.** Radiants given for the June Boötids as given in historical records as well as in 1998 reports. If the column 'Z' is tagged, the radiant was corrected for zenithal attraction.

preparation). Of the 429 echoes of probable Boötid origin detected from June 26–28, 15 were of sufficient quality to allow measurement using this new technique. Fig. 12 shows the distribution of velocities from the ensemble of selected Boötid echoes. A set of two possible peaks near 18 and  $13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  is apparent, although the number statistics are very poor. The former is similar to the expected velocity of the Boötids and that measured from the one multi-station EN Boötid fireball (Spurný & Borovička 1998), while the latter peak may represent Boötid echoes decelerated lower in the atmosphere or might be from another source entirely.

# 5 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The activity of the June Boötids was exceptional in 1998. The highest activity with ZHR  $\geq 40$  was recorded between  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}.6$  and 96°.2, that is, for more than 12 h. According to radar and visual data, the peak time may be narrowed down to  $\lambda_{\odot} = 95^{\circ}.7$  if we consider the second radar peak as the most reliable. The flux profile of particles producing meteors brighter than +6.5 mag is similar for visual and radar data. The apparently high flux of  $0.126 \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  in the radar data may be an artefact arising from the very small collecting area at that particular time, and does not coincide with high visual activity. The other values between 0.03

and  $0.06 \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  agree well with the visual fluxes between 0.02 and  $0.04 \text{ km}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . A summary of individual radiant determinations of past and present records is given in Table 4. Radiant positions for the June Boötids were derived from visual, photographic and radar data. The visual and photographic radiants were corrected for zenith attraction and diurnal aberration; the radar radiant only involves zenith attraction. Giving a weight of 10 to the two-station EN fireball radiant, a weight of 5 to the photographic data obtained by Velkov and a weight of 1 each for the radar and visual radiants, we get an average radiant position at  $\alpha = 224^\circ$ : 12,  $\delta = +47^\circ$ : 77 (2000.0) for 1998 June 27.9, or  $\lambda_{\odot} = 96^\circ$ :04.

The 1916 June Boötid outburst happened 298 d behind the nodal passage of the parent comet 7P/Pons–Winnecke. Meteoroids released from the comet during the perihelion passage in 1915 were substantially disturbed by Jupiter between 1917 and 1919. The closest approach to Jupiter occurred in mid-May of 1918 (0.719 au). The comet and the particles of each ejection phase are disturbed by Jupiter in a different way. It is certainly a typical feature of short-period cometary meteoroid streams to show an activity behaviour that is decoupled from the orbital motion of the parent body. Perturbations from Jupiter are assumed to be the key mechanism that directs filaments of the stream closer to Earth at certain times. Since it is not the perihelion passage of the comet but the encounter conditions with Jupiter that trigger an

# 896 *R. Arlt et al.*

outburst, filaments ejected at different perihelion passages (being evolved quite differently) will be directed towards the Earth, resulting in broad activity profiles and possibly large radiation areas.

Resonance effects as discussed for the Taurids (Asher & Izumi 1998) may act towards particle concentrations which encounter the Earth in intervals that are much different from the orbital period of the parent comet. In the case of 7P/Pons–Winnecke, this would be the 2:1 resonance with Jupiter. In the future, the orbit of the comet will be shifted back closer to the Earth's orbit (Asher, private communication). The June Boötid meteoroid stream will be an interesting subject for short-period stream evolution analysis.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to all the observers who contributed quickly to this analysis or kindly forwarded observations from their group, in particular E. Bojurova, G. Carstairs, R. N. Collins, S. Crivello, M. Dionisi, W. Drozdowski, J. Dygos, B. Ewen-Smith, T. Fajfer, C. Gałan, D. Girling, R. Gorelli, V. Grigore, T. Hashimoto, R. Haver, T. Holmes, D. Ito, K. Izumi, P. Jenniskens, J. Kac, S. Kerr, A. Knöfel, K. Koleva, H. G. König, M. Konopka, J. Koukal, K. Kretsch, V. Krumov, M. Kwinta, R. Maňák, A. Marsh, P. Martin, A. McBeath, S. Näther, A. Negoescu, K. Nose, A. Olech, K. Osada, D. Penn, L. Rashkova, K. Sato, T. Schreyer, A. Skoczewski, L. R. Sobkoviak, A. Solodovnik, E. Stomeo, P. Sütterlin, K. Suzuki, K. Szaruga, M. Taylor, J. M. Trigo, P. Trybus, M. Ueda, B. Vanderwark, R. Vodicka and T. Żywczak.

#### REFERENCES

- Arlt R., 1992, WGN, 20, 62
- Arlt R., 1995, WGN, 23, 105
- Arlt R., 1999, in Arlt R., Knöfel A., eds, Proc. Int. Meteor Conf., in press
- Arlt R., Rendtel J., Brown P., 1996, WGN, 24, 203
- Asher D., Izumi K., 1998, MNRAS, 297, 23
- Bakulin P. I., 1973, Astronomical Calendar, constant part. Nauka, Moscow (in Russian)
- Bellot Rubio L. R., 1995, A&A, 301, 602
- Brown P., Hocking W. K., Jones J., Rendtel J., 1998, MNRAS, 295, 847
- Denning W. F., 1878, MNRAS, 38, 315
- Denning W. F., 1890, MNRAS, 50, 410

- Denning W. F., 1899, Mem. R. Astron. Soc., 53, 202
- Denning W. F., 1916a, Nat, 97, 388
- Denning W. F., 1916b, Observatory, 39, 355
- Denning W. F., 1916c, MNRAS, 76, 740
- Denning W. F., 1916d, Nat, 97, 428
- Denning W. F., 1916e, Observatory, 39, 396
- Denning W. F., 1917, Observatory, 40, 95
- Denning W. F., 1921a, Nat, 107, 15
- Denning W. F., 1921b, Nat, 107, 601
- Denning W. F., 1922, Observatory, 45, 83 (comment)
- Denning W. F., 1923, MNRAS, 84, 43
- Hashimoto T., Osada K., 1998, WGN, 26, 263
- Heybrock W., 1921, Astron. Nachr., 214, 215
- Hoffmeister C., 1922, Astron. Nachr., 215, 455
- Hoffmeister C., 1948, Die Meteorströme. J. A. Barth, Leipzig
- Jadot A., 1921, Ciel Terre, 37, 94 Jenniskens P., 1995, A&A, 295, 206
- Jones J., Morton J. D., 1977, Bull. Astron. Inst. Czech., 28, 267
- Kanda S., 1922, Popular Astron., 30, 60
- King A., 1928, Observatory, 51, 25
- Koschack R., 1992, in Rendtel J., Arlt R., eds, Proc. Int. Meteor Conf., IMO, Potsdam, p. 30
- Koschack R., 1995, in Knöfel A., Roggemans P., eds, Proc. Int. Meteor Conf., IMO, Potsdam, p. 31
- Koschack R., Rendtel J., 1990, WGN, 18, 119
- Kresáková K., 1966, Contrib. Astron. Obs. Skalnaté Pleso, 3, 75
- Kronk G., 1988, Meteor Showers: A Descriptive Catalog, Enslow Publ., Hillside
- Malzev V. A., 1933, Publ. Tashkent Astron. Obs., 4, No. 2, 29
- Marsden B., 1995, Catalogue of Cometary Orbits, 10th edn IAU/MPC, Cambridge, MA
- Neslušan L., Svoreň J., Porubčan V., 1998, A&A, 331, 411
- Olivier C. P., 1916, MNRAS, 77, 71
- Olivier C. P., 1927, Popular Astron., 35, 409
- Prey A., 1921, Astron. Nachr., 214, 39
- Roggemans P., 1988, WGN, 16, 179
- Sato I., Usuki K., Yabu Y., Kushida Y., Hamane T., 1998, IAU Circ., 6954
- Sekanina Z., 1976, Icarus, 27, 265
- Spurný P., Borovička J., 1998, WGN, 26, 177
- Svoboda H., 1923, Astron. Nachr., 218, 255
- Sytinskaja N., 1928, Publ. Tashkent Astron. Obs., 1, 91
- Sytinsky N., 1928, Astron. Nachr., 232, 283
- Yamamoto I., 1922, Observatory, 45, 81
- Yamamoto I., Nakamura K., 1922, Mem. College Sci., Kyoto Imperial Univ., 5, 277

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.